

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF FATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 2023; www.uspic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/693,034	10/20/2000	Gerald E. Schmidt	32444	8044	

29669 7590 11/29/2002
PEARSON & PEARSON, LLP
10 GEAORGIA STREET
LOWELL, MA 01852

EXAMINER					
DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M					
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
ARI UNII	I ALEK NOMBER				

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Annii		
		Applic	Application No.		Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
000 4 00 0		09/693	3,034		SCHMIDT ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner		Art Unit		
			s M. Doughert		2834		
	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on	the cover she	et with the c	orrespondence ad	idress	
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC missions of time may be evaluate under the provisions SUK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm- sprend for prely special datore is less that this ty (30, 100 million) and the second of the commis- ter of the present of the second of the commis- ter or reply within the sat or extended period for reply only mission second of the second of the second of palent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unloation. f) days, a reply within the tutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	statutory minimum d will expire SIX (8 application to beco	nay a reply be tirn of thirty (30) day: ) MONTHS from the ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	y. ommunication.	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 20 October	2000 .				
2a)	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.				
3)[]	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract ion of Claims					ne merits is	
	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the a	application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar		consideration	,			
	Claim(s) 7-25 and 28-34 is/are allow		CONSIGNICION				
	Claim(s) 1-6,26 and 27 is/are rejecte						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or electio	n requiremen				
	on Papers						
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)🖾 -	The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 20	<u>001</u> is/are: a)⊠ ac	cepted or b)	objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing	g(s) be held in	abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)[]	The proposed drawing correction filed	d onis: a) [	approved b	disappro	oved by the Examir	ier.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are rec		Office action.				
	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.					
riority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)[]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).		
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority</li> </ol>						
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority</li></ol>	documents have t	een received	I in Applicati	on No		
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internies the attached detailed Office action</li> </ol>	ational Bureau (Po	CT Rule 17.2	(a))		Stage	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo					I application	
a) 15) 🗌 A	The translation of the foreign lan	guage provisional	application h	as been red	eived.		
tachment							
Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		4) 🔲 Inte	rview Summar	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P		

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper 1

Application/Control Number: 09/693,034 Art Unit: 2834

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hanafy (US 5,945,770). Hanafy shows (figs. 2, 3) an acoustic transducer comprising: a honeycomb structure having a plurality of cells (each comprised of 24, 26, 28); and each of said cells comprises a piezoelectric transducer. Each of said plurality of cells comprises a multi-sided cell. Each piezoelectric transducer comprises a stack (24, 26, 28) having at least one piezocomposite element.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haun et al. (US 5,945,770). Haun et al. show (fig. 1) an acoustic transducer comprising: a honeycomb structure having a plurality of cells (16); and each of said cells comprises a piezoelectric transducer. Each of said plurality of cells comprises a cylindrical cell.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakaya et al. (US 5,684,884). Nakaya et al. show (fig. 2) an acoustic transducer comprising: a honeycomb structure having a plurality of cells (14); and each of said cells (14) comprises a piezoelectric transducer. Each of said plurality of cells comprises a multi-sided cell.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,034 Art Unit: 2834

Claims 1, 3 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim et al. (US 5,376,859). Kim et al. show (fig. 1) an acoustic transducer comprising: a honeycomb structure having a plurality of cells (24); and each of said cells (24) comprises a piezoelectric transducer. Each of said plurality of cells comprises a cylindrical cell. Kim et al. provide (fig. 3) an impact-resistant honeycomb structure (soft-resin, 28) having a plurality of cells (24), each of said cells (24) comprises a piezoelectric transducer.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakaya et al. (US 4,755,707). Nakaya et al. show (fig. 2) an acoustic transducer comprising: a honeycomb structure having a plurality of cells (202); and each of said cells (202) comprises a piezoelectric transducer. Each of said plurality of cells comprises a multi-sided cell.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takeuchi et al. (US 4,683,396). Takeuchi et al. show (fig. 1) an acoustic transducer comprising: a honeycomb structure having a plurality of cells (101); and each of said cells (101) comprises a piezoelectric transducer. Each of said plurality of cells comprises a multi-sided cell.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith (US 5,334,903). Smith shows (fig. 1) an acoustic transducer comprising: a honeycomb structure having a plurality of cells (11); and each of said cells (11) comprises a piezoelectric transducer. Each of said plurality of cells comprises a multisided cell.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,034 Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanafy (US 5,945,770) in view of Kim et al. (US 5,376,859). Given the invention of Hanafy as noted above, his cells are not cylindrical and he doesn't inherently display a an impact-resistant structure. Given the invention of Kim et al. as noted above, their cells are not comprises of a stack comprising at least one piezocomposite element. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a stack configuration such as is taught by Hanafy in the device of Kim et al. at the time of their invention because such a construction "reduces the impedance of the transducer thereby providing a better electrical match between the ultrasound transducer and the ultrasound system to which it is coupled", as Hanafy teaches in his abstract.

Alternatively it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a cylindrical shape for the piezocomposite elements, in an impact resistant structure, in the device of Hanafy, since this is a structurally strong configuration as Kim et al. teach (col. 1, 1, 68 - col. 2, 1, 5).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-25 and 28-34 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art fails to show or fairly suggest ia soft pressure release material disposed entirely around

Application/Control Number: 09/693,034

Art Unit: 2834

stacked elements save a front surface, within a honeycomb structure of an acoustic transducer array.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining prior art cited reads on some aspects of the claimed invention.

Direct inquiry concerning this action to Examiner Dougherty at (703) 308-1628.

November 27, 2002

Gleman M. Rougher

PRIMARY EXAMINER

2800